

A consideration of within-host human cytomegalovirus genetic variation

Jeffrey D. Jensen^{a,1} and Timothy F. Kowalik^b

In Cudini et al. (1), the authors suggest that earlier work has argued high levels of intrahost variation in human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) to be the result of exceptionally fast mutation rates (on par with RNA viruses), citing our recent review (2) as evidence. In reality, ref. 2 noted that levels of variation can be comparable to those observed in certain RNA viruses (as Cudini et al. reconfirm), and that this required explanation “in light of the low mutation rates of herpesviruses relative to those of RNA viruses.” In the summarized primary literature, ref. 3 argued that fast mutation rates could explain this observation, but that “this possibility does not seem likely considering that HCMV encodes a DNA polymerase with proofreading activity.” Directly estimating *de novo* mutation rates from longitudinal samples, ref. 4 found them to be consistent with murine cytomegaloviruses, and multiple orders of magnitude slower than many RNA viruses.

Based upon this incorrect premise, Cudini et al. (1) go on to suggest that high levels of variation are, instead, a consequence of so-called “mixed” infections, concluding with a presentation of patient samples argued to be consistent with “mixed” or “single” infection histories. Yet, in making this claim, they recapitulate earlier (uncited) work. Ref. 5 previously examined the role of multiple-population infection and argued it to be a highly important and underappreciated player, similarly describing patient samples consistent with single- and multiple-population transmissions, but taking the additional step of inferring patient-specific demographic/infection histories.

Cudini et al. (1) also overlook other studies describing additional key processes. The severity of the

infection bottleneck, and the population structuring and gene flow associated with compartmentalization, have all been shown to be significant determinants (6, 7). Additionally, by inferring genome-wide recombination rates in HCMV, ref. 8 found recombination to be positively correlated with variation—suggesting the pervasive effects of background selection (9). By modeling these selective and demographic processes jointly, ref. 10 demonstrated an ability to fit both observed within- and between-patient data. Cudini et al. do not account for these processes—neither the timing of the infection-related bottleneck relative to their patient sampling nor the purifying selection effects inherent to the 10-kb RL11D region that they consider.

Notably, the choice of this small region stems from an attempt to shoehorn phylogenetic approaches into the analysis of recombining, within-population data. As demonstrated in all of the above citations, however, the utilization of a population genetic framework allows for a direct consideration of recombination events—thereby allowing for the appropriate genome-wide analysis of locus-specific coalescent trees, as opposed to their struggle to identify a genomic region small enough to allow for the pretension of a single phylogeny.

In sum, the mutation rate-based claim upon which Cudini et al. (1) rely for motivation is inaccurate, the primary results pertaining to multiple-population infection were previously described, the analysis framework is incomplete, and the neglect of other key variation-determining evolutionary processes renders the interpretations questionable.

- 1 J. Cudini et al., Human cytomegalovirus haplotype reconstruction reveals high diversity due to superinfection and evidence of within-host recombination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 5693–5698 (2019).
- 2 A. M. Sackman, S. P. Pfeifer, T. F. Kowalik, J. D. Jensen, On the demographic and selective forces shaping patterns of human cytomegalovirus variation within hosts. *Pathogens* **7**, E16 (2018).
- 3 N. Renzette, B. Bhattacharjee, J. D. Jensen, L. Gibson, T. F. Kowalik, Extensive genome-wide variability of human cytomegalovirus in congenitally infected infants. *PLoS Pathog.* **7**, e1001344 (2011).
- 4 N. Renzette et al., Limits and patterns of cytomegalovirus genomic diversity in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, E4120–E4128 (2015).

^aSchool of Life Sciences, Center for Evolution & Medicine, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281; and ^bDepartment of Microbiology and Physiological Systems, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605

Author contributions: J.D.J. and T.F.K. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

Published under the [PNAS license](#).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: jeffrey.d.jensen@asu.edu.

- 5 C. Pokalyuk *et al.*, Characterizing human cytomegalovirus reinfection in congenitally infected infants: An evolutionary perspective. *Mol. Ecol.* **26**, 1980–1990 (2017).
- 6 N. Renzette *et al.*, Rapid intrahost evolution of human cytomegalovirus is shaped by demography and positive selection. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003735 (2013).
- 7 N. Renzette, L. Gibson, J. D. Jensen, T. F. Kowalik, Human cytomegalovirus intrahost evolution—A new avenue for understanding and controlling herpesvirus infections. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **8**, 109–115 (2014).
- 8 N. Renzette, T. F. Kowalik, J. D. Jensen, On the relative roles of background selection and genetic hitchhiking in shaping human cytomegalovirus genetic diversity. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 403–413 (2016).
- 9 B. Charlesworth, M. T. Morgan, D. Charlesworth, The effect of deleterious mutations on neutral molecular variation. *Genetics* **134**, 1289–1303 (1993).
- 10 N. Renzette, S. P. Pfeifer, S. Matuszewski, T. F. Kowalik, J. D. Jensen, On the analysis of intrahost and interhost viral populations: Human cytomegalovirus as a case study of pitfalls and expectations. *J. Virol.* **91**, e01976-16 (2017).